| | Application | No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|--|--|-------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Sum | 10/000,340 | | NISHIMINE ET AL. | |
| Examiner-initiated interview Summ | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | Ngoc-Yen M. | Nguyen | 1754 | |
| All Participants: | Status of A | Application: | _ | |
| (1) <u>Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen</u> . | (3) | <u>.</u> . | | |
| (2) <u>Eugene Perez</u> . | (4) | _· | | |
| Date of Interview: 22 March 2005 | Time: | _ | | |
| | ☐ Applicant's represent No | ative) | | |
| Part I. | | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Claims discussed: | | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | | | |
| Part II. | | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Examiner's amendment was proposed to put case in a | | | | |
| Part III. | | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state directly resulted in the allowance of the applicant the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a state did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief | ation. The examiner will eparate record of the si | provide a writter provide in the instance of t | n summary of the interview, since t | e substance |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) | Applicant/Applicant's Re | presentative Sigr | nature – if appro | priate) |